

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT, POST OFFICE BOX 327, LAKELAND, FL 33802-0327

September 08, 2022

CASE NO.: 2D22-1756

L.T. No.: 21-005793-CI

CLAY G. COLSON

v. THE CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS,
ET AL

Appellant / Petitioner(s),

Appellee / Respondent(s).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

Petitioner's Motion for Rehearing and Motion for Rehearing En Banc is treated as both a timely reply to the response and as a motion for rehearing and for rehearing en banc. See *State v. Olliv*, 183 So. 3d 1161, 1162 (Fla. 3d DCA 2016). This court's June 21, 2022, order denying the Petition to Review Order Excluding Press Coverage of Proceedings in the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit, in and for Pinellas County, Florida, is vacated. Upon consideration of the petition, the response, and petitioner's reply, the petition is denied.

The motion for rehearing en banc is stricken as facially insufficient. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.331(d)(1) ("[A] party may move for an en banc rehearing solely on the grounds that the case or issue is of exceptional importance or that such consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity in the court's decisions."). The motion for rehearing is denied. Petitioner's reply to or motion to strike the response to petitioner's motion is stricken as unauthorized. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.330(a)(3), 9.331(d)(1).

CASANUEVA, SLEET, and LUCAS, JJ., Concur.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:

JAY DAIGNEAULT, ESQ.
KEN BURKE, CLERK

CLAY G. COLSON

ag

Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel

Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk

